



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,058		03/16/2001	Jonathan C. Kagle	03797.00023	4681	
28319	7590	03/01/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		OFF LTD., MICROSOFT	SCHLAIFER, J	SCHLAIFER, JONATHAN D		
1001 G STR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ELEVENT	I STRÉE	Γ	2178			
WASHING	TON, DO	20001-4597	DATE MAIL ED: 03/01/2006	DATE MAIL ED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/809,058	KAGLE ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan D. Schlaifer	2178	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application in Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv. 	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mudate of the final rejection.	evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31; st be filed within one e final rejection, whichever	es the ; or (3) a of the following
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	, ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	IRST REPLY WAS FILE	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			·
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	s):		
the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 7-9,22-24,32-51 and 53. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant alleges that the rejections are missing features that are present in the claim. However, the said features are inherent to the provided art as set forth in the Office Action according to the Examiner, and the Applicant is referred to said

12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Office Action to observe said features.

STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) ⊎.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Application No.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050217

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 38, which has been amended, is rejected in essentially the same manner.